Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 09902 01 OF 05 181514Z

43
ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 EUR-12

ERDA-05 ISO-00 MC-02 ACDA-05 EA-06 AID-05 /043 W
---------- 075311
R 181459Z APR 75
FM USMISSION OECD PARIS
TO SECSTATE WASH DC 6621

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 05 OECD PARIS 09902

EXCON

E.O. 11652 XGDS1
TAGS: ESTC, COCOM
SUBJECT: COCOM LIST REVIEW - IL 1572 - RECORDERS

REFS: A. COCOM DOC REV (74) 1572/5
B. COCOM DOC REV (74) 1572/3
C. ADDENDUM TO COCOM DOC REV (74) 1572/3
D. CORRIGENDUM ON COCOM DOC REV (74) 1574/3
E. COCOM DOC REV (74) L572/1
F. COCOM DOC REV (74) 1572/W.P.1
G. COCOM DOC REV (74) 1572/6
H. COCOM DOC REV (74) 1572/W.P.2
SUMMARY: APRIL 16 AND 17 DISCUSSIONS ON RECORDING EQUIPMENT AND MEDIA EMPHASIZED OTHER MEMBERS' INSISTENCE THAT EMBARGO OF ALL TAPE WAS NO LONGER JUSTIFIED AND GENERAL ACCEPTANCE (EXCEPT FOR US RESERVE) OF CONTENTION THAT TECHNOLOGY CONTROL NOTES FOR HEADS AND TAPE MANUFACTURE WOULD EFFECTIVELY MEET US CONCERNS IN THIS AREA. IN SPITE OF CONTINUED INSISTENCE THAT NOTE 1 EXCLUSION NOTE DID MEAN THAT FLEXIBLE DISCS WERE NOT NOW EMBARGOED, ALL OTHER DELGATIONS WERE PREPARED TO AGREE (AD REF) TO SOME STRENGTHENING OF CONTROL IN THIS AREA (INCLUDING THE DELETION OF THE PRESENT EXCLUSION CLAUSE), ESPECIALLY OVER COMMON TECHNOLOGY WITH TAPE, IF THE US COULD OFFER EXCLUSION FOR PRESENT LEVEL OF FLEXIBLE DISCS. THE JAPANESE PROPOSAL FOR EXPANSION
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 09902 01 OF 05 181514Z

OF AN EXCLUSION FOR HOME TV DISC, AND FILM PRODUCTION

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

EQUIPMENT WAS LEFT PENDING FOR FURTHER DEVELOPMENT BY JAPANESE. USDEL/WASH TEAM ACTION REQUEST FOLLOWS BY SEPTEL. END SUMMARY.

1. ON APRIL 16, 1975 THE NETHERLANDS AND GERMAN DELEGATIONS INTRODUCED THEIR REVISED PROPOSALS CONCERNING SUBITEM (A) (REFS. A TO D). THE US REVISED ITS POSITION, STATING THAT BEFORE IT COULD CONSIDER AN EXCLUSION FOR THIS EQUIPMENT, STRONG AND ADEQUATE CONTROLS ON TECHNOLOGY MUST BE DEVELOPED. BOTH THE NETHERLANDS AND GERMAN DELEGATIONS EXPRESSED DISAPPOINTMENT, SINCE THEY HAD TRIED TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THESE US CONCERNS EXPRESSED IN ROUND I BY PROPOSING THEIR RESPECTIVE TECHNOLOGY NOTES. BOTH DELEGATIONS ASKED THE US TO PROVIDE INFORMATION AS TO WHY THEIR TECHNOLOGY NOTES WERE DEFICIENT.

2. THE US WAS HARD PRESSED TO JUSTIFY THIS POSITION, SINCE WE ARE RELYING ON THE SAME TYPE OF NOTE IN 1564 TO PROTECT TECHNOLOGY. ALSO, WE HAVE PROPOSED ITS INCLUSION IN THE WORD PROCESSING EXCLUSION NOTE IN THIS SAME ITEM. THE US DID CITE CONCERN BASED UPON THE WIDE DISTRIBUTION THROUGHOUT THE WORLD OF MANUFACTURERS OF THIS EQUIPMENT, AND WONDERED IF INDIVIDUAL COMPANIES, WHEN REVIEWING EXPORT CONTROL LISTS, WOULD BE AWARE OF THE EXISTENCE OF PROHIBITIONS AGAINST EXPORTS OF TECHNOLOGY.

3. IN A TOUR OF THE TABLE ON THIS POINT, ALL DELEGATIONS EXPRESSED VIEW THAT IN THE CASE OF 1564, ALL COMPANIES ARE MADE AWARE OF THE NOTE EITHER VIA ITS DIRECT PUBLICATION OR THROUGH GOVERNMENT CONTACTS. THEY DID NOT FEEL THE US CONCERNS IN THIS AREA WERE JUSTIFIED. THE US STATED IT MUST MAINTAIN ITS RESERVE ON TECHNOLOGY NOTES BUT WOULD RELAY THE ABOVE REMARKS TO ITS AUTHORITIES FOR FURTHER STUDY.

4. SUBITEM (A)(1), BEING NO CHANGE FROM THE PRESENT ITEM, WAS ACCEPTED BY ALL DELEGATIONS. THE UK SUGGESTED DELETION OF WORDS "OR ADAPTED" IN THE NETHERLANDS PROPOSAL FOR SUBITEM (A)(2), SINCE UK FELT STRATEGIC EQUIPMENTS MIGHT BE RELEASABLE USING SUCH LANGUAGE. THE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 OECD P 09902 01 OF 05 181514Z

NETHERLANDS ACCEPTED THIS SUGGESTION.

5. THE US RAISED THE POINT THAT THE TERM "SPECIALLY DESIGNED" IS NOT PRECISE AND STRESSED THE IMPORTANCE OF USING CLEARLY IDENTIFIABLE LANGUAGE IN THE DEFINITIONS, SO EXPORTERS WOULD BE ABLE TO MAKE LICENSE APPLICABILITY DETERMINATIONS WITH CERTAINTY. THE GERMANS AND DUTCH STATED THE IMPORTANT CONDITIONS HERE WERE THE CHARACTERISTICS

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 09902 02 OF 05 181625Z

46
ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 EUR-12

ERDA-05 ISO-00 EA-06 MC-02 ACDA-05 AID-05 /043 W
---------- 076333
R 181459Z APR 75
FM USMISSION OECD PARIS
TO SECSTATE WASH DC 6622

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 05 OECD PARIS 09902

EXCON

BELOW THE HEADING AND NOT THE HEADING ITSELF, FOR MAKING SUCH A DETERMINATION.

6. THE NETHERLANDS STRESSED THAT THEY WISHED TO FREE THOSE VTR'S WHICH ARE PURCHASABLE IN ANY TAX FREE SHOP AND ARE USED IN THE HOME TO RECORD TV PROGRAMS BECAUSE OF THE DIFFICULTIES OF MAINTAINING EFFECTIVE EMBARGOES.

7. THE US ASKED THE NETHERLANDS WHY THEY HAD NOT INCLUDED SUBITEMS (II), (III), (IV), AND (VII) OF THE PRESENT NOTE 2 (A) WHEN FORMULATING THEIR PROPOSAL. THE UK CITED PARAGRAPH 91 OF THE ROUND I RECORD OF DISCUSSION (REF E) (A US STATEMENT) AS PARTIALLY ANSWERING THE US QUESTION. THE NETHERLANDS ANSWERED THAT IN THE SIMPLE HOME TYPE VTR'S, THESE PARAMETERS WERE NOT NORMALLY PUBLISHED IN BROCHURES. ALL DELEGATIONS ACCEPTED THE NETHERLANDS SUBITEM (A) EXCEPT THE US.

8. THE JAPANESE WITHDREW THEIR PROPOSAL (REF. F) ON (A), SINCE IT WAS THE SAME AS THE GERMAN PROPOSAL. THE GERMAN PROPOSAL FOR A NEW SUBITEM (A)(3) WAS DISCUSSED NEXT AND THE UK AGAIN SUGGESTED DELETION OF "OR ADAPTED" AS WELL AS CHANGING "LEADS" IN THE HEADING TO "SIGNALS". THE GERMANS COULD ACCEPT THESE AMENDMENTS. THE UK POINTED

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

OUT THAT THE PRESENT AE NOTE 2 (B) WOULD BE MAINTAINED EVEN IF THIS PROPOSAL IS ACCEPTED. ALL DELEGATIONS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 09902 02 OF 05 181625Z

ACCEPTED THE GERMAN (A)(3) AS AMENDED EXCEPT THE US WHICH RESERVED.

9. EXCEPT FOR A US RESERVE ALL DELS ACCEPTED THE GERMAN PROPOSAL FOR A NEW SUB-ITEM (A)(4).

10. THE JAPANESE SUBMITTED A REVISED PROPOSAL ON SUBITEM (B) AND PROVIDED BROCHURES ON THE TYPE OF EQUIPMENT THEY WISHED RELEASED. PROPOSAL AMENDED THE EXCLUSION CLAUSE OF SUBITEM (B) TO READ: "EXCEPT EQUIPMENT SPECIALLY DESIGNED FOR TELEVISION RECORDING AND/OR REPRODUCTION ON DISCS OR FILMS HAVING 3DB RECORDING BANDWIDTH NOT EXCEEDING 4 MHZ, RECORDING IMAGE SIZE NOT EXCEEDING 3 MM X 2.5 MM, NUMBER OF LINES PER FRAME NOT EXCEEDING 312.5, AND BEAM SPOT POSITION STABILITY NOT BETTER THAN 0.3 PER CENT." AFTER SOME DISCUSSION INCLUDING INFORMAL BILATERALS WITH THE JAPANESE, IT APPEARS THAT AT LEAST ONE TYPE OF RECORDING EQUIPMENT THEY ARE REFERRING TO UTILIZES THE SAME EBR TECHNIQUES AS THE 3M EQUIPMENT (MODEL EBR-100) MANUFACTURED IN THE US. MORE DETAILED BROCHURES ON THIS EQUIPMENT WERE REQUESTED. THE JAPANESE STATED THEY WISHED TO STUDY THE COMMENTS OF OTHER DELEGATIONS BETWEEN ROUNDS II AND III. THEIR PROPOSAL REMAINS PENDING.

11. THE GERMAN PROPOSAL TO EXCLUDE TAPE USED IN THE PAPER CUTTING INDUSTRY HAD BEEN ACCEPTED IN ROUND I BY ALL DELEGATIONS EXCEPT THE US, FRANCE AND CANADA, ALL OF WHICH RESERVED. THE FRENCH LIFTED THEIR RESERVE WHILE CANADA AND THE US STATED THE MATTER WAS STILL UNDER STUDY. THE US ASKED THE GERMANS WHAT THE MANUFACTURING DIFFERENCES WERE FOR MAKING THIS TAPE VERSUS THE HIGHER PERFORMANCE TAPES LISTED ELSEWHERE IN THIS ITEM. THE GERMANS STATED IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION BUT THEY WOULD ATTEMPT TO DO SO.

12. DISCUSSION TURNED TO THE TECHNOLOGY NOTES PROPOSED BY THE NETHERLANDS AND GERMAN DELEGATIONS (REFS A & B). THE UK EXPRESSED A PREFERENCE FOR THE DUTCH PROPOSAL. US PREFERRED BROADER GERMAN FORMULATION ALBEIT WITH THE UNDERSTANDING WE WERE NOT ABLE TO STATE A FORMAL PO-
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 OECD P 09902 02 OF 05 181625Z

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

SITION ON THE PRINCIPLE OF SUCH A NOTE. THE NETHERLANDS STATED THEIR PROPOSAL WAS LIMITED SINCE IT PERTAINED ONLY TO VIDEO EQUIPMENT AS DID THEIR EXCLUSION CLAUSE. IF THE GERMAN EXCLUSION CLAUSE WAS ACCEPTED, THEY COULD AGREE TO THEIR OWN NOTE AMENDED TO DELETE THE REFERENCES TO "VIDEO". THE NETHERLANDS REMINDED THE US OF STATEMENTS IN COCOM DOCS. (73) 1801 PARAGRAPH 5 AND (74)429 WHICH SUPPORT THE NETHERLANDS PROPOSALS.

13. THE JAPANESE PROPOSAL TO DELETE TAPE IN NOTE 1 HAD BEEN ACCEPTED BY ALL DELEGATIONS DURING ROUND I EXCEPT FRANCE AND THE US. FRANCE ACCEPTED LEAVING THE US THE ONLY PC IN RESERVE. ITALY SUPPORTED DELETION CITING BULGARIAN AND CZECHOSLOVAKIAN CAPABILITY FOR DISCS AND TAPE ALBEIT OF AN INFERIOR QUALITY. THEY READ FROM A LAB ANALYSIS REPORT ON BULGARIAN DISC PACKS (AND WILL PROVIDE COPIES TO US BILATERALLY SOON). THE US

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 09902 03 OF 05 181710Z

43
ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 EUR-12

ERDA-05 ISO-00 MC-02 ACDA-05 EA-06 AID-05 /043 W
---------- 077086
R 181459Z APR 75
FM USMISSION OECD PARIS
TO SECSTATE WASH DC 6623

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 03 OF 05 OECD PARIS 09902

EXCON

CONFIRMED THE ITALIAN ANALYSIS OF BLOC CAPABILITY IN PART BUT CAUTIONED THAT SUCH DEFICIENCIES SHOULD NOT BE OVERLOOKED AND A BLANKET EXCLUSION FOR ALL TAPE FOR ALL TIME IS NOT WISE. WE CITED PROSPECTIVE ADVANCEMENTS IN THE TAPE FIELD AND THE STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE OF EMBARGOING SOME SPECIFIC TAPES AS REASON FOR OUR CONCERN. THE JA-

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

PANESE REQUESTED EXAMPLES OF HIGH QUALITY TAPE THE US WAS CONCERNED ABOUT. WE REPLIED SOME INSTRUMENTATION TAPES AND COMPUTER TAPES WITH HIGH PACKING DENSITIES (6250 BPI) WERE CONSIDERED HIGH QUALITY.

14. THE NETHERLANDS INQUIRED WHETHER ALL TAPE COULD BE RELEASED IF A TECHNOLOGY NOTE WAS ADDED TO THE LIST. WE REPLIED THAT THE US WOULD NOT LIKE TO SEE SOME OF THE HIGH QUALITY TAPES GO AT ALL. JAPAN REMAINED OPEN-MINDED ON SOME COMPROMISE ON THIS ISSUE.

15. THE FRENCH PROPOSAL FOR AN ADDITION TO NOTE 1 TO EXCLUDE AUTOMATION EQUIPMENT WAS DISCUSSED AT GREAT LENGTH WITH MANY REVISIONS SUGGESTED. A "SEMI-FINAL" VERSION WAS ACCEPTED BY ALL DELEGATIONS (UK, US, CANADA AND GERMANY, AD REF) AS FOLLOWS: "IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT THIS DEFINITION DOES NOT COVER DEVICES OPERATED BY (USED WITH) MAGNETIC CARDS, TAGS, AND LABELS WITH A SURFACE AREA OF LESS THAN 10 SQ. IN. (64 SQ. CM.) OR BY BANK CHECKS." A NEWER VERSION, TABLED THE FOLLOWING DAY BY CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 09902 03 OF 05 181710Z

THE US, IS REPORTED IN PARA.22 BELOW.

16. THE ITALIANS SUGGESTED A COMPROMISE PROPOSAL TO THE JAPANESE PROPOSAL TO DELETE TAPE IN NOTE 1. THEY SUGGESTED LEAVING NOTE 1 AS IS BUT INCREASE LEVEL IN NOTE 3(D) TO 6250 BPI. THE JAPANESE FOUND THIS ACCEPTABLE BUT MAINTAINED THEIR OWN PROPOSAL UNTIL UNANIMOUS AGREEMENT IS REACHED ON THIS COMPROMISE. THE UK FELT SUBITEMS (A) AND (E) COULD BE DELETED AS WELL AS THE LIMITATION TO TRACKS IN (D).

17. THE US ASKED THE UK WHAT OTHER BASE MATERIALS FOR TAPE THEY WISHED TO RELEASE. THE GERMANS FELT THIS NOTE COULD BE BROADENED BY DELETING "COMPUTERS". THE NETHERLANDS WANTED THIS TAPE EXCLUDED UNDER AN INTERPRETATIVE NOTE. THIS WOULD PROTECT THE TECHNOLOGY. THE US WAS SYMPATHETIC WITH THE THRUST OF THESE SUGGESTIONS. THE NETHERLANDS OFFERED TO DRAFT A PROPOSAL FOR SUCH AN EXCLUSION NOTE.

18. THE NETHERLANDS AND UK PROPOSALS TO CHANGE NOTE 2 (A) WERE DISCUSSED AND ALL DELEGATIONS ACCEPTED BOTH PROPOSALS (THEY WERE IDENTICAL). THE US PROPOSED A 300 KHZ LEVEL IN NOTE 2 (B) AND THE JAPANESE, FINDING THIS COMPROMISE ACCEPTABLE, WITHDREW THEIR OWN PROPOSAL TO INCREASE TAPE SPEED CUTOFF.

19. THE NETHERLANDS PROPOSAL TO REFER TO THE EIA-ANSI

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

STANDARDS FOR MEASUREMENT IN NOTE 2 (B) (X) IN ADDITION
TO THE IRIG STANDARD WAS ACCEPTED BY ALL DELEGATIONS.

20. NOTE 2 (D) WAS BROUGHT UP BY THE FRENCH SINCE THEY
FELT IT CONFLICTED WITH THE POSITIONS OF DELEGATIONS
TAKEN ON WORD PROCESSING EQUIPMENT (SEE OECD PARIS 9752).
AFTER SOME DISCUSSION, DELEGATIONS (EXCEPT US WHICH RE-
SERVED SYMPATHETICALLY) ACCEPTED A REVISED WORDING FOR
THE HEADING AS FOLLOWS: "RECORDING EQUIPMENT
NOT INTENDED FOR USE IN CONJUNCTION WITH EMBARGOED EQUIP-
MENT OR MATERIALS...". THE FRENCH ACCEPTED THE
NETHERLANDS PROPOSAL ON NOTE 2 (D)(I). ALL DELEGATIONS
WERE NOW IN AGREEMENT.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 OECD P 09902 03 OF 05 181710Z

21. NOTE 5 PROPOSALS BY THE NETHERLANDS AND JAPANESE
WERE NOT DISCUSSED SINCE THEY WERE A CONSEQUENCE OF PRO-
POSALS ON NOTE 1.

22. ON APRIL 17 THE US INTRODUCED AD REF A NEW PROPOSAL
TO ACCOMMODATE THE FRENCH "AUTOMATION EQUIPMENT" SOU AND
SOLVE THE FLOPPY DISC PROBLEM:
(I) DIGITAL RECORDING AND/OR REPRODUCING EQUIPMENT SPE-
CIALLY DESIGNED TO USE MAGNETIC CARDS, TAGS; LABELS, OR'
BANK CHECQUE RECORDING MEDIA WITH A MAGNETIC SURFACE
AREA NOT EXCEEDING 10 SQ. IN. (65 SQ. CM.) ARE NOT EM-
BARGOED BY SUBITEM (A) AND RECORDING MEDIA THEREFOR ARE
NOT EMBARGOED BY SUBITEM (D).

(II) DELETE IN NOTE 1:

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 09902 04 OF 05 181634Z

43
ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 EUR-12

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

ERDA-05 ISO-00 MC-02 ACDA-05 EA-06 AID-05 /043 W
---------- 076494
R 181459Z APR 75
FM USMISSION OECD PARIS
TO SECSTATE WASH DC 6624

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 04 OF 05 OECD PARIS 09902

EXCON

"EXCEPT MAGNETIC CARDS, CHECKS AND SIMILAR DISCRETE NON-RIGID MAGNETIC MEDIA OTHER THAN TAPE."

(III) GOVERNMENTS MAY PERMIT, AS ADMINISTRATIVE EXCEPTIONS, THE SHIPMENT OF REASONABLE QUANTITIES OF NON-RIGID MAGNETIC DISCS INDIVIDUALLY PACKAGED IN JACKETS FOR USE IN DIGITAL RECORDERS AND REPRODUCING APPLICATIONS PROVIDED THAT:
(A) THE DISC DIAMETER DOES NOT EXCEED 8 INCHES (203.2 MM)
(B) THE GOVERNMENT OF THE EXPORTING COUNTRY HAS PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED DETAILS OF SUCH NON-RIGID MAGNETIC DISCS TO THE COMMITTEE, WHO HAVE AGREED THAT THE DISCS SHOULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR THIS SPECIAL TREATMENT.
(EXAMPLE: IBM DISKETTE OR MINIDISQUE).

23. DISCUSSION ON THIS PROPOSAL WAS SPLIT INTO THREE SEPARATE SECTIONS. MOST DELEGATIONS PREFERRED THE FIRST SECTION BE INCORPORATED IN SUBITEM (A) AS AN EXCLUSION. THE US ACCEPTED THIS SUGGESTION. AFTER SOME DISCUSSION OF THE ABOVE WORDS, MOST DELEGATIONS ACCEPTED THIS REVISION AD REF.

24. CONCERNING THE SECOND SECTION OF THE US PROPOSAL, THE UK, NETHERLANDS, GERMANY, JAPAN ACCEPTED AD REF THE DELETION OF THE EXCEPTION CLAUSE IN THE PRESENT NOTE 1.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 09902 04 OF 05 181634Z

CANADA WAS OPEN-MINDED, AND THE BELGIANS, ITALIANS, AND FRENCH RESERVED. THE RESERVING DELEGATIONS FELT THIS DELETION WAS CONNECTED WITH THE FLOPPY DISC ISSUE AND WOULD AWAIT THE US EXCLUSION FOR THESE FLOPPY DISCS.

25. ON THIRD SECTION, WHICH IT SUGGESTED COME INTO FORCE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, US STATED THAT AE NOTE WAS AN INTERIM MEASURE TO PERMIT COUNTRIES TO START LICENSING ON NATIONAL AUTHORITY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE WHILE A DEFINITIVE PROPOSAL WAS DEVELOPED IN CONJUNCTION WITH MAGNETIC TAPE. US TEAM STATED THAT THEY

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

WOULD PRESS THEIR AUTHORITIES FOR APPROVAL ASAP. US ALSO STATED THAT FLEXIBLE DISKS SHOULD BE HANDLED THE SAME WAY AS COMPARABLE TAPE AND GETTING AN ACCEPTABLE POSITION ON MAGNETIC TAPE WOULD TAKE SOME TIME. AFTER SOME DISCUSSION ALL COUNTRIES COULD ACCEPT (SOME AD REF) HEADING AND SUBITEM (A) EXCEPT FRANCE AND ITALY WHO RESERVED. GERMANY, JAPAN, FRANCE, AND ITALY RESERVED ON SUBITEM (B) BECAUSE THEY WANTED A MORE DEFINITIVE NOTE NOW. FRANCE INDICATED THAT THERE WERE SOME DISKS USED FOR MEASURING PURPOSES THAT THEY FELT WERE NOT EMBARGOED. THEY INDICATED THAT THESE DISKS USED PVC AS A BASE. ONE HAD A DIAMETER OF 195 MM AND THE OTHER 70 MM. THESE DISKS USED BOTH ANALOG AND DIGITAL RECORDING, ROTATED AT UP TO 3,000 RPM AND USUALLY RECORDED AT THIS SPEED AND PLAYED BACK AT SLOW SPEED TO PERMIT MORE DETAILED ANALYSIS. US REQUESTED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND MODEL NUMBERS FOR CONSIDERATION IN DEVELOPING PROPOSAL. FRENCH DEL SAID THEY WOULD PROVIDE SAME.

26. THE NETHERLANDS INTRODUCED A NEW PROPOSAL (REF. G BEING POUCHED) TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN TAPES FROM COVERAGE. THEY INDICATED THEY WOULD ACCEPT AN ACCOMPANYING TECHNOLOGY NOTE FOR THESE TYPE TAPES. THE UK SUGGESTED CHANGING THE FORMAT SLIGHTLY BY INSERTING AN (A) BETWEEN "TAPE" AND "HAVING" IN THE HEADING AND CHANGING

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 09902 05 OF 05 181630Z

46
ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 EUR-12

ERDA-05 ISO-00 EA-06 MC-02 ACDA-05 AID-05 /043 W
---------- 076487
R 181459Z APR 75
FM USMISSION OECD PARIS
TO SECSTATE WASH DC 6625

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 05 OF 05 OECD PARIS 09902

EXCON

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

(A), (B), (C), (D), (E), (F)AND (G) BY (I), (II), (III)
(IV), (V), (B) AND (C) RESPECTIVELY. ALSO THE
UK SUGGESTED DELETION OF ALL BEFORE THE "HAVING" IN THE
NEW (C) SINCE THEY FELT THIS SHOULD NOT BE RESTRICTED
TO CASSETTES AND CARTRIDGES. ALL DELEGATIONS ACCEPTED
THIS AMENDED PROPOSAL AD REF EXCEPT THE US WHICH RE-
SERVED SYMPATHETICALLY.

27. THE UK PRESENTED A PROPOSAL FOR AN AE NOTE FOR ALL
TYPES OF TAPE (REF H POUCHED). THEY CORRECTED THE PRO-
POSAL BY DELETING THE WORDS "ON REELS FOR USE WITH COM-
PUTERS, AND" AND STATED THIS PROPOSAL WAS ESSENTIALLY
THE SAME AS THE PRESENT NOTE 3 WITH SUB-PARAGRAPHS (A)
AND (E) DELETED AND THE 1600 BPI FIGURE IN (D) CHANGED
TO 6250 BPI. ALSO, ALL WORDS AFTER "TAPE" IN (D) WAS
DELETED. THE US SAW PROBLEMS WITH THIS SINCE (D)
REFERRED TO A SPECIFICATION LIMIT PRIMARILY ASSOCIATED
WITH COMPUTERS. THE UK THEN SUGGESTED ADDING THE WORDS
"FOR COMPUTER TAPE" IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING "A MAGNETIC
COATING" IN (D). THE US STATED THIS WOULD RELEASE ALL
OTHER TAPE NOT WIDER THAN 1 INCH OR THICKER THAN 22
MICRONS. WE FELT MORE SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS
WERE NECESSARY AND VOLUNTEERED TO WORK ON A COUNTER-
PROPOSAL FOR THESE TAPES. ALL OTHER DELEGATIONS ACCEPT-
ED THE UK PROPOSED AE NOTE AS AMENDED.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

28. DETAILED USDEL/WASH TEAM RECOMMENDATIONS AND AC-
TION REQUEST FOLLOW BY SEPTEL.
TURNER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: EXCEPTIONS LIST, TAPE RECORDERS, STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 18 APR 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975OECDP09902
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750136-0985
From: OECD PARIS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750438/aaaabjcq.tel
Line Count: 529
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EB
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 10
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 COCOM DOC REV (71572/5
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 02 JUN 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: WITHDRAWN <19 MAY 2003 by GarlanWA, 3.4.X9>; RELEASED <02 JUN 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <02 JUN 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: COCOM LIST REVIEW - IL 1572 - RECORDERS
TAGS: ESTC, COCOM
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006